Effects of Catecholamine Manipulations on Three Different Self-Stimulation Behaviors

NORMAN WHITE, ZAVIE BROWN AND MICHAEL YACHNIN

Department of Psychology, McGill University, and Center for Research on Drug Dependence Department of Psychology, Concordia University, Montreal, Canada

(Received 7 April 1978)

WHITE, N., Z. BROWN AND M. YACHNIN. *Effects of catecholamine manipulations on three different self-stimulation* behaviors. PHARMAC. BIOCHEM. BEHAV. 9(3) 273-278, 1978.—Rats with self-stimulation electrodes in the medial part of lateral hypothalamus (LH) or in the lateral part of LH were trained to bar press, to run in a continuous, square-shaped runway, and to move their tails from side to side while otherwise restrained, all using LH stimulation on an FI 2 see schedule as the reinforcement. At low doses of pimozide (a dopaminergic blocker) or of FLA-57 (a dopamine betahydroxylase inhibitor) different effects on rates of responding were observed on each of the 3 tasks at the 2 electrode placements, indicating that the rate reductions were not the results of specific performance effects of the drugs. The patterns of rate changes suggested that the effects of LH stimulation on behavior in the runway were primarily, but not exclusively mediated by a dopaminergic system; that the effects of LH stimulation on tail movement were primarily, but not exclusively mediated by a noradrenergic system; and that the effect of LH stimulation on bar pressing was mediated by both, or either of these substrates. These results suggest that the reinforcement of behavior by LH stimulation is flexibly mediated by at least 2 different neural systems.

RATES of bar pressing for electrical self-stimulation of the brain are affected by systemically or intraventricularly administered drugs which alter noradrenaline (NA) function [8, 20, 25, 26], or dopamine (DA) function [5, 10, 16, 31]. However, rather severe alterations in catecholamine function have been required to produce these effects on bar pressing and this, as well as other evidence, has led some investigators to suggest that such drugs do not really affect the reinforcing properties of brain stimulation, but that they act directly to inhibit motor performance or operant responding [9, 21, 22]. For this reason, the value of self-stimulation data in explaining the effects of these systemically administered drugs on the catecholamine substrate has remained equivocal.

One variable that has not been considered in these studies is the nature of the behavior that the animals are required to perform to obtain brain stimulation. A number of studies show that this can be an important factor in determining the precise nature of the neural substrate that mediates the effects of brain stimulation on behavior [13, 19, 27, 34, 35, 36]. Because of these data we decided to reexamine the effect of two systemically administered drugs which alter catecholamine function~pimozide, a blocker of DA neurotransmission [1]; and FLA-57, a dopamine betahydroxylase inhibitor [11]—on 3 different self-stimulation behaviors. The 3 behaviors studied were those for which evidence suggesting that different neural substrates may mediate their reinforcement by lateral hypothalamic (LH) stimulation is already available [27, 35, 36].

METHOD

Animals

Ten male, hooded rats (250-300 g) underwent standard stereotaxic surgery under 50 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital anesthesia to implant a single monopolar electrode. In 5 rats the electrode was aimed at the dorsolateral quadrant of LH (deGroot [7] coordinates: AP, 4.2; L, 1.8; V, -2.0), in the remaining 5 rats the electrode was aimed at the ventromedial quadrant of LH (AP, 4.2; L, 1.2; V, -2.2). One week after surgery each rat met a self-stimulation criterion in the barpressing apparatus such that a rate of at least 25 responses per min was attained at a stimulation current level equal to approximately 75% of the current required to produce the rat's maximum response rate. These current levels were used in all subsequent training and testing.

Apparatus

The electrodes were made of single lengths of 0.2 mm diameter stainless steel wire insulated with Formvar except for the cross sectional area of the tip. The electrode assemblies were fastened to the rats' skulls with 4 stainless steel screws which served as the indifferent electrode. Elec-

^{&#}x27;This research was supported by grants from the FCAC program, Department d'Education, Province de Quebec, and from the National Research Council of Canada. We thank Drs. Keith Franklin and George Koob for valuable comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. Reprint requests should be sent to Norman White, Department of Psychology, McGill University, 1205 McGregor Ave., Montreal, Quebec, H3A IBI, Canada.

trical stimulation of the brain was delivered in 0.5 sec trains of sine wave current at 60 Hz in each of the 3 self-stimulation apparatuses.

The bar press (BP) apparatus was a wooden box with a Plexiglas front $(20 \times 20 \times 40 \text{ cm})$. The box was fitted with a bar $(5 \times 7.5 \text{ cm})$ in the middle of one side wall, 3.0 cm from the floor. The runway (RY) was 11.5 cm wide, 64.0 cm deep, and was built in the shape of a square, 60 cm on the outside. A photocell beam crossed each comer of the runway, 3 cm from the floor. Interruption of a beam was the required response; consecutive responses required the interruption of different beams. The tall movement (TM) apparatus [35] was a restraining cage fitted with 2 microswitches at one end so that the tail of a rat restrained in the cage lay between levers that activated the switches. Tall movements greater than 11 mm to either side were the required responses.

Procedure

The initial bar press session on continuous reinforcement for each rat was kept as short as possible (less than 15 min). In the same session all rats were immediately shifted to an FI 2 sec schedule of reinforcement, and this schedule was used throughout all subsequent training and testing on all tasks. Each rat was trained in each of the 3 apparatuses for 20 min on each of 4 days on the FI 2 sec reinforcement schedule. The stimulation current levels used were those determined in the initial session, and the order in which each task was presented to each rat was varied randomly.

Three days after the last training session testing with pimozide (Janssen Pharmaceuticals) began. There were a total of 4 pimozide tests at 48 hr intervals. Each test consisted of an intraperitonneal injection followed, 4.5-5.5 hr later, by 15 min of testing on each of the 3 tasks. The rats were switched between tasks with a minimum of delay. The order in which the rats were tested, the order in which each rat was tested on each task, and the day on which each rat was injected with each dose of pimozide were all determined by different sequences of random numbers. The doses of pimozide used were 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 mg/kg. The 0.0 dose was 0.5 ml of the tartaric acid vehicle $(pH=3.0)$ used to dissolve the pimozide.

Four days after the last pimozide test all rats were retrained by performing for 20 min on each of the 3 tasks in a no-drug condition. Forty-eight hours later testing with FLA-57 (Astra Pharmaceuticals) began. There were 3 FLA-57 tests separated by 48 hr. The procedure for these tests was identical to that described for pimozidc. The doses of FLA-57 used were 0, 15, 30 mg/kg. The 0 dose was 0.5 ml of the sodium hydroxide and acetic acid vehicle ($pH=8.0-$ 8.2) used to dissolve the FLA-57.

In each drug condition each rat was tested on each task for 15 min. The first 5 min of each 15 min test was designated as a warm up period: during this time, the various factors determining the rats' performance rates on each task (contrast effects, electrode polarization, etc.) were allowed to equilibrate. The rates for this period were analyzed on a minute-by-minute basis. The final 10 min were taken as representative of the final rate produced by the experimental conditions. These rates were taken simply as the total number of responses made in 10 min.

Several weeks after the last test day 9 of the rats used in the experiments were injected with 30 mg/kg FLA-57. Three rats that were housed with the experimental animals but underwent no surgical or other experimental procedures were injected with the sodium hydroxide-acetic acid vehicle. Five hr later the rats were killed and their brains were quickly removed. The brain of each experimental rat was cut in half longitudinally. The half without the electrode track was immediately frozen and subsequently assayed for concentrations of NA and DA using flourometric procedures [3,23]. The half with the electrode track was fixed in formalin for 2 weeks and then frozen, sliced at 30 μ , mounted, and stained with thionin to permit verification of the electrode placements. The whole brains of the three unoperated control rats were assayed.

RESULTS

The results of examining the histological material are shown in Fig. I. The medial placements lie along the border of the fornix and mamillothalamic tract. The lateral placements center around the ventromedial tip of the cerebral peduncle. The median stimulation current for the rats in the medial group was 157 μ A (range =60-200 μ A) and for the rats in the lateral group the median was 100 μ A (range of 4 rats=90-120 μ A, one rat=310 μ A). The results of the brain assays following injections of FLA-57 are shown in Table 1. The concentration of NA was reduced to about 50% of normal levels; the concentration of DA was unaffected.

The minute-by-minute analysis of the first 5 min of each test period showed that the changes in rate were very small and inconsistent. In virtually every case, the rate of responding observed during the first min was within 5 responses per min of the rate during the fifth min, and these changes did not occur in any consistent direction. These initial rates were equally close to the average rates for the final 10 min of the test period. It is possible, however, that some consistent changes in initial response rates would have been detected if 15 or 30 sec intervals and continuous reinforcement (as were used in [10]) had been used.

For the final 10 min of responding in each 15 min session the number of responses made were summed to give the raw scores for the data analysis. Each rat's score on each task in the vehicle condition (0 dose) for each drug was taken as a baseline score. To permit comparisons among the different placement groups, drug conditions, and behaviors, each animal's scores on each task in each drug condition were expressed as percentages its own baseline score.

The baseline scores are summarized in Table 2. An analysis of variance was computed on these scores using electrode placement, drug group and behavior as the 3 factors. The main effect for electrode placement was the only significant F value in the analysis, $F(1,8) = 10.03$, $p < 0.05$. It is apparent from Table 2 that the rats with medial placements performed at lower rates than the rats with lateral placements. The maximum number of reinforcements (trains of stimulation) available during the 10 min periods was 300 on the FI 2 sec reinforcement schedule so the interstimulation intervals were reasonably well equalized for the rats in the lateral group. At 200 responses in 10 min (the lowest mean rate in Table 2) the rats obtained a reinforcement every 3 sec on the average, instead of every 2 sec as permitted by the FI 2 sec schedule. Therfore, the interstimulation intervals varied between these 2 values in the medial group.

The main results of the experiment are summarized in Fig. 2. At low doses, pimozide caused preferential decreases in rates of responding on RY in the lateral group and on TM in the medial group. At a higher dose (0.4 mg/kg) pimozide virtually stopped responding on all tasks in both groups. At

FIG. 1. Locations of the electrode tips for the rats in the medial and lateral LH groups. Abbreviations: CP, cerebral peduncle; FX, fornix; ML, medial lemniscus; MT, mammillothalamic tract; PH, posterior hypothalamus; ZI, zona incerta. The numbers on each section indicate its position in the anterior-posterior plane of the deGroot [7] atlas.

FIG. 2. Effects of pimozide and FLA-57 on rates of responding on 3 different self-stimulation behaviors at 2 electrode placements in lateral hypothalamus. The control data (see Table 2) on which these percentages are based were obtained during sessions when the rats were injected with the appropriate vehicle for each drug. Variability indicators are standard errors.

TABLE 2 **MEAN NUMBER OF RESPONSES (± SEM) IN 10 MIN IN BASELINE (VEHICLE) TEST SESSIONS**

Group	Vehicle	Bar Press	Tail Movement	Runway
Lateral	Pimozide	438 ± 32	369 ± 25	398 ± 70
	FLA-57	351 ± 43	276 ± 74	411 ± 93
Medial	Pimozide	256 ± 33	214 ± 26	203 ± 14
	FLA-57	276 ± 40	208 ± 41	212 ± 43

TABLE 1 **RESULTS OF ASSAY OF BRAINS OF RATS INJECTED WITH** FLA-57 Mean NA Concentration Mean DA Concentration

Q	195.7 ± 31.3	48.8	626.6 ± 31.5	94.4
	400.9 ± 21.3		663.5 ± 52.5	
			mean iva concentration $n\mathbf{g}/\mathbf{g} \pm \text{SEM}$ % Control	Mean DA Concentration $n\mathbf{g}/\mathbf{g} \pm \text{SEM}$ % Control

this dose there were no significant differences (t-tests) between the rates on any behaviors, either within or between groups.

For the data at 0.1 and 0.2 mg/kg pimozide an analysis of variance was computed using the 2 drug doses, the 2 electrode placements and the 3 behaviors as the main factors. There was a significant 3-way interaction among these factors, $F(2,16)=4.72$, $p<0.05$; a significant electrode placement by behavior interaction, $F(2,16)=5.33$, $p<0.05$; and a significant main effect of dose, $F(1,8)=21.55$, $p<0.01$. Newman-Keuls comparisons were used to compare the effects of pimozide on the 3 behaviors at the 0.2 mg/kg dose. In the lateral group the mean RY score was lower than the mean BP score $(p<0.01)$ and lower than the mean TM score $(p<0.01)$. In the medial group the mean TM score was lower than the mean BP score $(p<0.01)$ and lower than the mean RY score $(p<0.01)$. The behaviors that were affected by pimozide at 0.2 mg/kg were compared across the two placement groups. The RY score for the lateral group was lower than the RY score for the medial group $(p<0.01)$; the TM score for the medial group was lower than the TM score for the lateral group $(p<0.05)$.

FLA-57 preferentially decreased responding on TM in both electrode placement groups, and showed some tendency to increase rates on the other behaviors, especially on RY in the medial group. An analysis of variance identical to that computed for the pimozide data showed a significant 3-way interaction, $F(2,16)=4.50$, $p<0.05$; a significant interaction between behavior and dose, $F(2,16)=23.17, p<0.01$; and significant main effects of task, $F(2,16) = 19.95$, $p < 0.01$ and dose, $F(1,8)=23.59$, $p<0.01$. Newman-Keuls comparisons for the lateral group at 30 mg/kg showed that the mean score for TM was lower than the mean scores for both BP $(p<0.01)$ and RY $(p<0.01)$. In the medial group the mean score for TM was lower than the mean score for BP at 15 mg/kg $(p<0.01)$ and at 30 mg/kg $(p<0.01)$; the mean score for TM was also lower than the mean score for RY at both doses (15: $p < 0.01$; 30: $p < 0.01$). At 15 mg/kg the mean score for TM was lower in the medial group than in the lateral group $(p<0.01)$. In the medial group RY was significantly higher than BP at both 15 mg/kg $(p<0.05)$ and at 30 mg/kg $(p<0.05)$.

DISCUSSION

Partial depletion of NA or partial blocking of DA neurotransmission each produced a different pattern of changes in the rates of responding associated with reinforcing LH stimulation, when these reinforcing effects were assessed with several different behaviors. Moreover, the specific rate changes observed interacted with electrode placement. As there is no reason to expect the position of an electrode in a rat's brain to influence the systemic action of either of the two drugs used in the study, this latter finding makes it highly unlikely that the effects observed at the lower doses of pimozide or of FLA-57 were simple actions of the drugs on performance. For example, at 15 mg/kg FLA-57 the rate of responding on TM was normal in the rats with lateral electrodes, but significantly reduced in the rats with medial electrodes. The hypothesis that the lowered rate in the medial group was due to an effect of FLA-57 on the ability of rats to move their tails does not explain the normal rate of the lateral group under the same drug treatment. The same argument applies to the decreased rates on RY in the lateral group and on TM in the medial group associated with the low

doses of pimozide, as well as to the effect of FLA-57 on RY in the medial group.

At the higher doses of both drugs (0.4 mg/kg pimozide and 30 mg/kg FLA-57) however, the present data offer no grounds for rejecting the hypotheses that pimozide affected the ability of rats to perform a variety of operant responses, or that FLA-57 produced a form of motor disability that is somehow specific to tail movements. In the following discussion, only the effects of 0.1 and 0.2 mg/kg of pimozide and of 15 mg/kg of FLA-57 are considered. If the rate reductions produced by these doses were not due to general performance effects of the drugs, the reductions must have been the results of an action of the drugs on the neural substrates mediating the effect of brain stimulation on the behaviors tested.

At the lateral stimulation sites the only effect on brain stimulation reinforcement at the low doses of either drug was produced by pimozide. This drug selectively reduced the rate of performance on the RY task, suggesting that the reinforcement of this task depended upon a normally functioning DA substrate, and that reinforcement of the 2 other tasks was less dependent upon normal DA neurotransmission.

At the medial stimulation sites, pimozide did not affect the rate of responding on RY, suggesting that, at this site, some non-DA substrate was involved in mediating the effects of the stimulation on this behavior. The possibility that this non-DA substrate may include NA-containing neurons is suggested by the selective increase in the rates of responding on RY produced by FLA-57. Koob *et al.* [15] and Will *et al.* [32] have also reported increased rates of reinforced operant responding at times of lower than normal NA levels. The significance of this phenomenon for an understanding of the role in NA in mediating reinforcement remains to be determined.

At the medial stimulation sites reinforcement of the TM task was sensitive to low doses of both pimozide and FLA-57, neither of which affected the performance of this response at the lateral sites. One possible explanation for the fact that DA blocking affected the rates of TM responding at the medial, but not at the lateral sites is based on the location of the stimulating electrodes with respect to the DAcontaining neurons which course through the dorso-lateral part of LH [14, 17, 18, 30]. The lateral stimulation sites were centered in the area of these axons and would have produced a high level of activation in them. The partial DA block produced by the low dose of pimozide would have reduced the amount of DA neurotransmission resulting from this activation, but the results show that the degree of reduction was not sufficient to cause a reduction in the rate of TM responding. In the medial group there was some distance between the stimulation sites and the main concentration of DAcontaining axons, so they would have produced considerably less activation. Consequently, the addition of pimozide would have resulted in a much lower level of DA neurotransmission; a level sufficiently low to reduce the reinforcement of the TM response. The normal functioning of the DA substrate is clearly less critical for the reinforcement of TM than it is for the reinforcement of RY. For TM, heavy dependence on a non-DA substrate at the lateral sites is suggested by the lack of effect of pimozide. The effect of FLA-57 on this response at the medial sites suggests that this substrate may include NA.

The TM behavior requires proximal, tactual guidance to control a simple, arbitrary muscle movement. The RY behavior requires visual guidance in response to distal stimuli to control whole-body orientation and movement. These are examples of two different types of behaviors rats can learn to perform. It was previously suggested [36] that the reinforcement of each of them may be primarily associated with the activation of an independent neural substrate mediating the effect of brain stimulation reinforcement on behavior. The data of the present study suggest that the mediation of the reinforcement of behaviors requiring the integration of visual detection of distal stimuli, and whole body orientation and movement is heavily dependent upon a DA substrate at lateral sites, and that at medial sites an NA substrate becomes involved in a way that is not presently understood. The reinforcement of behaviors requiring proximal, tactual control of simple muscle movements (or combinations of simple movements) appears to depend upon a minimal level of DA neurotransmission, and to be heavily dependent upon NA, although the degree of this dependence was also related to the stimulation site.

The BP behvior contains elements of both of the types of behavior already discussed [36]. To perform this behavior rats locate the bar visually, orient to it and approach it repeatedly. If they are already in position, they can perform simple, tactually guided muscle movements to obtain the

- 1. Anden, N. E., S. G. Butcher, H. Corrodi, K. Fuxe and U. Ungerstedt. Receptor activity and turnover of dopamine and noradrenaline after neuroleptics. *Eur. J. Pharmac.* 11: 303-314, 1970.
- 2. Arbuthnott, G., K. Fuxe and U. Ungerstedt. Central catecholamine turnover and self-stimulation behavior. *Brain Res.* 27: 406-413, 1971.
- 3. Chang, C. C. A. sensitive method for spectrophotoflourometric assay of catecholamine. *Int. J. Neurophysiol.* 3: 043-649, 1964.
- 4. Clavier, R. M. and H. C. Fibiger. On the role of ascending catecholaminergic projection in intracranial self-stimulation of the substantia nigra. *Brain Res.* 131: 271-286, 1977.
- 5. Cooper, B. R., J. M. Cott and G. R. Breese. Effect of catecholamine-depleting drugs and amphetamine on selfstimulation of brain following various 6-hydroxydopamine treatments. *Psychopharmacologia* 37: 235-248, 1974.
- 6. Crow, T. J. Specific monoamine systems as reward pathways: evidence for the hypothesis that activation of the ventral mesencephaiic dopaminergic neurons and nor-adrenergic neurons of the locus coeruleus complex will support selfstimulation responding. In: *Brain Stimulation Reward,* edited by A. Waquier and **E. T.** Rolls. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1975, pp. 211-238.
- 7. deGroot, J. *The Rat Forebrain in Stereotaxic Coordinates.* Amsterdam: N. V. Noord-Hollandische, 1959.
- 8. Dresse, A. Importance du systeme mecencephaletelencephalique noradrenergique comme substratum anatomique du comportement d'autostimulation. *Life Sci.* 5: 1003-1014, 1966.
- 9. Fibiger, H. C., D. A. Carter and A. G. Phillips. Decreased intracranial self-stimulation after neuroleptics or self-stimulation after neuroleptics or 6-hydroxydopamine: evidence for mediation by motor deficits rather than by reduced reward. *Psychopharmacologia* 47: 21-27, 1976.
- 10. Fouriezos, G. and R. A. Wise. Pimozide-induced extinction of intracranial self-stimulation: response patterns rule out motor or performance deficits. *Brain Res.* 103: 337-380, 1976.
- 11. Florvall, L. and H. Corrodi. Dompaine beta-hydroxylase inhibitors. The preparation and the dopamine beta-hydroxylase inhibitory activity of some compounds related to dithiocarbonic acid and thiuramdisulfide. *Acta Pharmac. Suec.* 1: 7-22, 1970.

stimulation. Because of the dual nature of this behavior the two neural systems mediating the effects of LH stimulation may be equally functional when the rat is in the BP situation. This hypothesis is consistent with the data of the present experiment showing that neither NA depletion nor DA blocking alone affects response rates on BP, even though roughly similar levels of debilitation *of both* the NA and DA systems do reduce BP rates [2, 28, 29]. Only very severe depletions of a single catecholamine system can affect the reinforcement of BP [5, 8, 12, 25, 26]. On the basis of independent evidence, Crow [6] has also suggested that the brain stimulation reinforcement of BP can be mediated by NAcontaining or by DA-containing neurons.

In several previous studies conventional lesion techniques [27,35] and electrophysiological analysis [36] were used to study the mediation of LH brain stimulation on the reinforcement of the 3 behaviors used in the present study. With the addition of the present results there are now data using 3 different types of brain manipulations which point to the hypotheses that at least 2 different neural systems mediate the effects of reinforcing brain stimulation in LH, and that these systems function differentially and flexibly to mediate the reinforcement of different behaviors a rat can learn to perform.

REFERENCES

- 12. Franklin, **K. B. J. and L. J.** Herberg. Self-stimulation and catecholamines: drug-induced mobilization of the "reserve' pool re-establishes responding in catecholamine-depleted rats. *Brain Res.* 67: 419-437, 1974.
- 13. Glickman, S. E. Responses and reinforcement. In: *Constraints on Learning,* edited by R. A. Hinde and J. Stevenson-Hinde. New York: Academic, 1973, pp. 207-241.
- 14. Jacobowitz, D. and M. Paikovits. Topographic atlas of catecholamine and acetylcholinesterase-containing neurons in the rat brain. I. Forebrain (Telencephalon, diencephalon). J . *comp. Neurol.* 157: 13--28, 1974.
- 15. Koob, G. F., G. J. Baicom and J. L Meyerhoff. Increases in intracranial self-stimulation in the posterior hypothalamus following unilateral lesions in the locus coeruleus. *Brain Res.* 101: 554-560, 1976.
- 16. Liebman, J. M. and L. L. Butcher. Effects on self-stimulation behavior of drugs influencing dopaminergic neurotransmission mechanisms. *Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmak. exp. Pathol.* 277: 305-318, 1973.
- 17. Lindvall, O. and B. Bjorklund. The glyoxylic acid fluorescence histochemical method: a detailed account of the methodology for the visualization of central catecholamine neurons. *Histochem.* 39: 97-127, 1974.
- 18. Morgane, P. J. and W. C. Stern. Chemical anatomy of brain circuits in relation to sleep and wakefulness. In: *Advances in Sleep Research,* edited by E. Weitzmaan. New York: Spectrum, 1974, pp. 1-131.
- 19. OIds, M. E. and D. Hogberg. Subcortical lesions and maze retention in the rat. *Exp. Neurol.* 10: 296-304, 1964.
- 20. Poschel, B. P. H. and F. W. Ninteman. Hypothalamic selfstimulation: its suppression by blockade of norepinephrine biosynthesis and reinstatement by methamphetamine. *Life Sci.* 5: 11-16, 1966.
- 21. Rolls, E. T., P. H. Kelley and S. G. Shaw. Noradrenaiine, dopamine and brain stimulation reward. *Pharmac. Biochem. Behav.* 2: 735-740, 1974.
- 22. Rolls, E. T., B. J. Rolls, P. H. Kelly, S. G. Shaw, R. J. Wood and R. Dale. The relative attenuation of self-stimulation, eating and drinking produced by dopamine receptor blockade. *Psychopharmacology* 38: 219-230, 1974.
- 23. Sheilenberger, M. K. and J. H. Gordon. A rapid, simplified procedure for simultaneous assay of norepinephrine, dopamine, and 5-hydroxytryptamine from discrete brain areas. *Analyt. Biochem.* 39: 356-372, 1971.
- 24. Smith, G. P., B. E. Levin and G. N. Ervin. Loss of active avoidance responding after lateral hypothalamic injections of 6-hydroxydopamine. *Brain Res.* 88: 483-498, 1975.
- 25. Stein, L. and C. D. Wise. Release of norepinephrine from hypothalamus and amygdala by rewarding medial forebraln bundle stimulation and amphetamine. *J. comp. physiol.* Psychol. **67:** 189-198, 1969.
- 26. Stein, L. and C. D. Wise. Possible etiology of schizophrenia: progressive damage to the noradrenergic reward system by 6-hydroxydopamine. *Science* 171: 1032-1036, 1971.
- 27. Stiglick, A. and N. White. Effects of lesions of various medial forebrain bundle components on lateral hypothalamic selfstimulation. *Brain Res.* 133: 45-63, 1977.
- 28. Stinus, L., M. LeMoal and B. Cardo. Autostimulation et catecholamines. I. Intervention possible des deux "compartements" (compartement functionel et compartement de reserve). *Physiol. Behav.* 9: 175-182, 1972.
- 29. Stinus, L. and A.-M. Thierry. Self-stimulation and catecholamines. II. Blockade of self-stimulation by treatment with alpha-methylparatyrosine and the reinstatement by catecholamine precursor administration. *Brain Res.* 64: 189- 198, 1973.
- 30. Ungerstedt, U. Stereotaxic mapping of the monoamine pathways in the rat brain. *Acta Physiol.* scand. **367:** 1-48, 1971.
- 31. Wauquier, A. and C. J. E. Niemegeers. Intracranial selfstimulation in rats as a function of various stimulus parameters. II. Influence of haloperidol, pimozide and pipamperone on medial forebrain bundle stimulation with monopolar electrodes. *Psychopharmacology* 27: 191-202, 1972.
- 32. Will, B., J. Maurissen and P. Ropartz. Catecholamines and operant response rates in albino rats. *Psychopharmac. Comm.* **2:** 219-229, 1976.
- 33. Wise, C. D. and L. Stein. Facilitation of brain self-stimulation by central administration of norepinephrine. *Science* 163: 299- 301, 1969.
- 34. White, N. Effects of septal lesions on responding for delayed brain stimulation. *Brain Res.* 65: 185-193, 1974.
- 35. White, N. Effects of anterior medial forebrain bundle lesions on self-stimulation with two different operant responses. *Behav. Biol.* 14: 342-347, 1975.
- 36. White, N. Strength-duration analysis of reinforcement pathways in the medial forebrain bundle of rats. *Brain Res.* I10: 575-591, 1976.